

From: michael@theyfly.com
Date: February 25, 2008 5:42:53 PM PST
To: <derek@iigwest.com>
Subject: FW: RE: Comment on UFO

Oh Mr. Maus,

Anything else I can help you with?

Your tree-hugging pal,

Mike

Charles,

You're quite welcome and I thank you for your prompt response as well.

All the best,

Michael

Mr. Horn:

Thank you for your prompt response to Dr. Jensen's email. We would like to take you up on your generous offer to go forward without further assistance from us. Good luck in your work.

Charles

Charles E. Fletcher
Associate General Counsel
Oregon State University

-----Original Message-----

From: michael@theyfly.com [mailto:michael@theyfly.com]
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2008 4:09 PM
To: Jensen, Edward C.
Cc: Fletcher, Charles; Jensen, Edward C.
Subject: RE: Comment on UFO

Dr. Jensen,

Thanks for writing. Regarding your first sentence below, I would have to say that no deliberate misrepresentation of your remarks was made by me or anyone connected to the study of the Meier UFO case. Nowhere have we said that you authenticated any photos of UFOs, etc. for anyone. Whoever may have led you to believe that such representations were made is misleading you.

So, to be specific and accurate, your statements below that directly relate to our concerns are:

"An estimate of tree height (actually only the top portion of a single particular tree) that I made several years ago in good faith ..."

"The photo was of poor quality, but I assumed that the tree in the photo was real and gave an estimate of the height of the portion of the tree above the alleged UFO based on that assumption."

Being an expert regarding trees, I can appreciate that your assumption about the reality of the tree was based, even considering the quality of the photo, on significant experience and expertise. I can certainly appreciate that you not only don't want to be misquoted but that you wouldn't want to interject yourself into a discussion on UFOs.

However, Since the party in question who has contacted you, presumably Mr. Derek Bartholomaus, has in our opinion misrepresented many facts in the whole matter under discussion, should there indeed be an issue needing resolution I would suggest that very good quality photographs of the trees and the unknown object can be made available to you for definitive commentary, a commentary that need only pertain to the authenticity, or lack of same, of the trees.

Since Mr. Bartholomaus has gone on record as stating that the trees in the photographs I refer to are - absolutely, without a doubt - model trees but has been unable to produce any evidence to support that claim, he is understandably under pressure to divert the attention elsewhere. Again, none of this may be of the slightest interest or concern to you and should you wish to not comment further I would certainly respect that decision.

Also, should you wish a copy of the film in question, that contains the controversial information, I would be glad to have one sent to you for review by you and the GC of OSU. I would be equally pleased to make a presentation to the students and instructors at the university, as it

may pique their interest, for many reasons.

Michael Horn
Producer/Writer
The Silent Revolution of Truth
www.theyfly.com

Gentlemen:

An estimate of tree height (actually only the top portion of a single particular tree) that I made several years ago in good faith has been taken out of context and misused to purport things that I did not intend. Further, my observations have been misappropriated and misapplied to photographs that I have never seen and situations that I have never reviewed. I want to assure you that I have never purported to authenticate any photos of alleged UFOs for Mr. Deardorff, Mr. Meier, Mr. Horn, or anyone else. Nor have I ever purported to determine the authenticity of any trees in any photos of alleged UFOs for anyone. Mr. Deardorff contacted me some years ago and asked if I could provide information about a tree in a photo that included an alleged UFO. The photo was of poor quality, but I assumed that the tree in the photo was real and gave an estimate of the height of the portion of the tree above the alleged UFO based on that assumption. I did not opine that the tree in the photo was truly real rather than a model, that the alleged UFO was real, or that the photograph was real and unaltered. I certainly have never offered any support to Mr. Deardorff or Mr. Meier for their claims that there are real UFOs or real trees in any photograph. I hope this will end the matter and that neither my name nor that of Oregon State University will be used inaccurately again in connection with these photographs. To this end, I have copied the General Counsel of Oregon State University, and I will direct any further communication about this matter to them. Thank you for your cooperation.

Dr. Edward C. Jensen

Oregon State University